

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/551,198

Applicant(s)

SMITH, PAUL RAYMOND

Examiner

SARANG AFZALI

Art Unit

3726

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SARANG AFZALI

(3) _____

(2) JEFFREY B. HAENDLER

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 July 2009

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1-23

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In a telephone call made to the Applicant's representative, Mr. Haendler, an Examiner Amendment was proposed to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 3 into independent claim 1 in order to put the application in condition for allowance. In addition, some of the dependent claims were cancelled and the dependency of claim 4 was modified.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Report of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.